Otherness, Justice and Jus Gentium: the legally binding value of Earth Charter for the protection of

human rights

Ricardo Libel Waldman

10th Annual Colloquium

of the IUCN Academy of
Environmental Law

University of Maryland
Law School

Introduction

- 1Defining jus gentium
- 2 Buber's dialogical principle
- 3 Justice and meetings
- 4 Earth Charter as jus gentium
- Conclusion

- Jus gentium is a form of law which is derived from human reasoning on the requirements of natural law,
- Natural law on its turn is formed by principles that rule the functioning of nature including human social nature.

- There are some goods which are valued in any culture, if considered by themselves. Those are: life, truth and community.
- From this natural law, human prudence reflecting on historical experience can derive jus gentium principles and rules, especially in terms of international environmental law.

• The methodology used to formulate this solution in a manner acceptable to all is dialectics (Aristotle). Dialectics does not produces universally valid results, regardless of who speaks, but assumes the acceptability of its results based on assumptions accepted by interlocutors.

 So if the people of the earth agree, as they do, that nature should be better taken care of, there is no need that the Governments accept formally all principles and rules required for doing so.

- This is not just a theoretical argument but is confirmed by important decisions on the international level, surprisingly at WTO's Dispute Settlemet Body (DSC):
- Shrimp/Turtle
- EC-Biotech

- Now, this findings of the DSC can indicate that there is space for the kind of argument here developed, at least if a connection between jus gentium concepts and international positive law.
- What would be the content of environmental jus gentium?

- The basis for legal and moral experience is the meeting, the encounter, and the consequent responsibility for protecting those that are encountered.
- This what Buber's dialogical principle takes us to conclude.

- Principle-words:
- Me-Thou
- Me-This

- Me-Thou: describes the relation between a human being and another entity which human being recognizes as an absolute, as an existence that is not available for human knowledge or domination, and exactly because of this, an existence for which the human being is responsible.
- Me-This:describes a relationship of domination between human being and the entity to which relates. This is a thing that human being can come to know and to dominate.

- Me-This:describes a relationship of domination between human being and the entity to which relates. This is a thing that human being can come to know and to dominate.
- Buber said men can relate to the world in a Me-This fashion but they cannot have only this kind of relation to the world

- There are three ways of meeting between Me and Thou, according to Buber:
- a) humans and non-human nature:
- ob) humans and humans:
- o c) humans and the Eternal:

• Turning from moral philosophy to legal philosophy, those meetings are the basis for the three forms of Justice mentioned in Earth Charter according to Bosselmann, respectively: a) interspecies justice, b) intra-generational justice and c) intergenerational justice.

• Interspecies justice respects to protecting all species, not only ours to the extent that they are absolutes and should be treated as such. We should find ways to relate to them, that preserves the integrity of ecosystems and can be the basis for the other kinds of justices.

• Intra-generational justice respects to giving human beings living to day what they entitled to so as the common good is attained. Common good is understood as what is required for all human beings to flourish, to develop as human beings.

• Intergenerational justice respects to sustaining indefinitely those conditions so as to protect mankind as we know, what in it turn includes the broader scheme were non-human beings are included as well as human.

• If the meeting between humans is the deepest because they use language in dialogue, then language is a privileged locus for the meeting. Dialectics should be used to determine the specific content of those duties.

• A Pact of international environmental law should use language and dialogue to promote a real meeting. A meeting when people can dialogue to find the solution of a problem common to all that are offering alternatives.

• Thus, in terms of international law, it is understood that the real meeting between peoples only occurs with a Pact that present assumptions acceptable by all interlocutors.

• These premises must be content commitments with the interests of North and South, but by connecting them to justice and human rights (to health, work, housing, civil liberties, and of course a healthy environment).

 If these assumptions are acceptable, the findings of the argument, which will be duties of justice are also acceptable.

• The Earth Charter establishing the duty of "5. Protect and restore the integrity of the Earth's ecological systems, with special concern for biological diversity and the natural processes that support the life" and

 "9. Eradicating poverty as an ethical imperative, social and environmental", seems to embrace the assumptions necessary to produce the accession of all those involved (BOSSELMANN).

• In this sense, a Pact such as the Earth Charter, for its content, can be considered jus gentium, a form of law which derives is validity from human reason in dialectical debate, and therefore does not depend on a formal agreement between all those who are specifically affected by it.

Conclusion

Earth Charters principles should be respected by all actors in International Environmental Law because it is jus gentium a form of law grounded on its own rationality as accepted in dialectical debate based on premises generally accepted in terms of protecting life and environment.